

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/027,777	02/23/1998	HANSUELI IMMER	P108099-00001	3626
75	590 05/28/2002			
ARENT FOX KINTNER PLOTKIN & KAHN, PLLC 1050 Connecticut Avenue Suite 600			EXAMINER	
			SCHWADRON, RONALD B	
WASHINGTON, DC 20036-5339			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1644	25
		:	DATE MAILED: 05/28/2002	,)a

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/027,777

Applicant(s)

Immer et al.

Examiner

Ron Schwadron, Ph.D.

Art Unit **1644**



	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with th	he correspondence address			
	for Reply					
A SH	A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM					
	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In	no ovent however may a reply he	timak, filed after CIV (R) MONTHS from the			
mailing	g date of this communication.					
- If NO	period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within tl period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply a	ind will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from	m the mailing date of this communication.			
- Failure	o to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the oply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of t	e application to become ABANDON	NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
earned	patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	na constitutionation, over it tartery is	illed, may founce any			
Status						
1) 🗆	Responsive to communication(s) filed on		·			
2a) 🗌						
3) ∐	The state of the s					
Dienosi	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims					
4) X	Claim(s) <u>35 and 36</u>		is/are pending in the application.			
	fa) Of the above, claim(s)					
_	Claim(s)					
6) □	Claim(s)					
	Claim(s)					
	Claims 35 and 36	are subject to	o restriction and/or election requirement.			
Application Papers						
	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
441	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11) 🗀	The proposed drawing correction filed on		proved b) \square disapproved by the Examiner.			
• • • • •	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply t					
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami	ner.				
-	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	· · · · · · · · · · · ·				
_	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign pr	iority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) All b) Some* c) None of:						
	1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents hav					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents hav					
	 Copies of the certified copies of the priority do application from the International Burea ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the 	au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
14)						
 14) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 						
15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachme		priserity without 00 010.0.	33 120 dild/01 121.			
1) No	tice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-4	13) Paper No(s)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 6) Other:						

Serial No. 09/027777

Art Unit 1644

2

- 1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 9/4/2001 has been entered.
- 2. Applicant needs to list the appropriate SEQ. ID. numbers for the peptides recited in the claims. See 37 CFR 1,821(d).
- 3. The following species election is now required in view of the amendment filed 2/5/2002.
- 4. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention.

The individual peptides recited in claims 35 and 36. Applicant is required to elect a single peptide from said claims (eg. peptide 35 (b)).

These peptides are different peptides with different amino acid sequences.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission

may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

5. Applicant is advised that the response to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed.

6. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a diligently-filed petition

under 37 C.F.R. § 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(h).

7. Papers related to this application may be submitted to Group 1600 by facsimile transmission. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989). Papers should be faxed to Group 1600 at (703) 308-

4242.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dr. Ron Schwadron whose telephone number is (703) 308-4680. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Thursday from 7:30 to 6:00. A message may be left on the examiners voice mail service. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ms. Christina Chan can be reached on (703) 308-3973. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group 1600 receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

RONALD B. SCHWADKON-PRIMARY EXAMINER

GROUP 1800 600

Ron Schwadron, Ph.D.

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1644